

::आयुक्त (अपील-11) का कार्यालय,केंद्रीय उत्पाद

शुल्कः:

O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS-II), CENTRAL EXCISE, 7वीं मंजिल, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क भवन, 7th Floor, Central Excise

पोलिटेकनिक के पास,

आम्बवाडी, अहमदाबाद : 380015

S-ID, CENTRAL EXCISE,
7th Floor, Central Excise
Building,
Near Polytechnic,
Ambavadi,
Ahmedabad;380015



रजिस्टर डाक ए .डी .द्वारा

क	फाइल संख्या	(File No.): V2(28) 10/EA-2/Ahd-II /Appeals-II/ 2015-16	1349 to	353
	स्थगन आवेदन	न संख्या(Stay App. No.):	/	

ख अपील आदेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal No.): <u>AHM-EXCUS-002-APP- 093 -16-17</u> दिनांक (Date): <u>20.02.2017</u>, जारी करने की तारीख (Date of issue): <u>22/02</u>/7- श्री उमा शंकर, आयुक्त (अपील-II) द्वारा पारित Passed by **Shri Uma Shanker**, Commissioner (Appeals-II)

ग	. *	आयुक्त, केंद्रीय	उत्पाद श्	रुक, (मंडल-I\	V), अहमदा	बाद- ॥, ३	गयुक्ता लय	द्वारा	जारी
	मूल आदेश सं _		_ दिनांक		से सृजित				
	Arising out of	Order-In-Orig	inal No.	03/ADC/DS	SN/2015	Dated:	14/05/20	<u>15</u> ^	
	issued by: Assi	stant Commis	ssioner.,(Central Excis	se (Div-IV), Ahm	edabad-II		

घ अपीलकर्ता/प्रतिवादी का नाम एवम पता (Name & Address of the Appellant/Respondent)

M/s Finar Ltd.

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है |

Any person an aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :

Revision application to Government of India:

(1) (क) (i) केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1994 की धरा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परंतुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001 को की जानी चाहिए |

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब हानि कारखाने से किसी भंडारगार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भंडारगार से दूसरे भंडारगार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भंडारगार या भंडार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भंडारगार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो |

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामले में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है ।

Cufile



(c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपन्न संख्या इए—8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल—आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो—दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35—इ में निर्धारित फी के भूगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर—6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/— फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/— की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35—बी / 35—इ के अंतर्गत:— Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-
- (क) वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से संबंधित सभी मामले सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठिका वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं. 3. आर. के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली को एवं
- (a) the special bench of Custom, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No.2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi-1 in all matters relating to classification valuation and.
- (ख) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016.
- (b) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

रेखाकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट के रूप में संबंध की जाये। यह ड्राफ्ट उस स्थान के किसी नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक की शाखा का हो जहाँ उक्त न्यायाधिकरण की पीठ स्थित है।

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the Place Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथारिथति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवांकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.
- ⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस सन्दर्भ में ,इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

<u> थेह मदाबाद</u>

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

The Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Division-IV, Ahmedabad-II, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as 'the appellant'), has filed the present appeal against the Order-In-Original No. 03/ADC/2015 dated 18.08.2015 (hereinafter referred to as 'the impugned order') passed in the matter of M/s Finar Ltd formally known as M/s Finar Chemicals Ltd(herein after referred to as 'the respondents') by the Additional Commissioner, Central Excise, Ahmedabad-II, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as 'the adjudicating authority').

- The fact of the case, in brief is, respondent is engaged in the manufacturer of 2. Different kind of chemicals falling under chapter 28, 29, 31 and 32 of the first schedule to the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1944. They are availing benefit of Cenvat Credit as per Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004. During the course of Audit, the Audit Party observed that respondent have availed the Service Tax Credit on the invoices issued by SMPS Consultant Pvt Ltd for the civil construction work of the Factory Building, Compound Wall, Internal Road, D P Godown, Transformer foundation, Utility Building, Old Building extension and Office Building under Works Contract Service. As specify in sub clause (zzzza) of clause (105) of section 65 of the Finance Act the above service is not covered in the definition of "Input Service" as defined in the Rule 2(I) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004. The Audit party observed that respondent had availed Service Tax Credit of ₹ 11,29,987/- and ₹ 4,76,562/during the financial year 2010-11 and 2011-12 respectively. Respondent was issued Show Cause Notice proposing Service Tax Credit of ₹ 11,29,987/- and ₹ 4,76,562/- (Total ₹ 16,06,549/-) wrongly availed by them. Why interest should not be recover. Why Penalty should not be imposed. The Adjudicating Authority vide OIO No 03/ADC/2015 dated 18.08.2015 confirmed the demand of ₹ 4,76,562/- and drop the demand of ₹ 11,29,987/- on the ground that Cenvat Credit availed by the respondent during the period 2010-11as the credit was allowable under the definition of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004. Penalty of $\stackrel{ extstyle ?}{ extstyle ?}$ 2,38,281/- was also imposed under Rule 15(2) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004, read with Section 11AC (1) (b) of The Central Excise Act, 1944. Demand of interest was also confirmed.
 - 3. The said impugned order was reviewed by the Commissioner of Central Excise, Ahmedabad-II for filling appeals under sub section 2 of section 35 E the Central Excise Act 1944 on the ground that there was no specific provision in the Rule 2(I) before 01.04.2011 itself that Cenvat Credit is admissible on service provided towards construction of a building or a civil structure or a part thereof or lying a foundation or making a structure for the support of Capital Goods, expect for the provision of one or more of the specified services thereby contravened the provision of Rule 3 & 4 of Cenvat Credit Rules read with Rule 2(I) of the Cenvat Credit Rules 2004.

9

आयुव

- **4.** Personal hearing in the matter was granted to the respondent on 18.10.2016, 30.12.2016 & 12.01.2016 however they did not turn up. They further submitted their written submission on 12.01.2017 requested to set aside the appeal filed by the revenue.
- 5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case on records, grounds of the appeal, and written submission put forth by the respondent. Looking to the facts of the case, I proceed to decide the case on merits.
- order confirmed the demand of ₹ 4,76,562/- and drop the demand of ₹ 11,29,987/- on the ground that Cenvat Credit availed by the respondent during the period 2010-11 on the ground that Cenvat Credit availed by the respondent during the period 2010-11as the credit was allowable under the definition of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004. Penalty of ₹ 2,38,281/- was also imposed under Rule 15(2) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004, read with Section 11AC (1) (b) of The Central Excise Act, 1944. Demand of interest was also confirmed. The Appelant is in a view that respondent has wrongly availed the Cenvat Credit therefore they have contravened the provision of Rule 3 & 4 of Cenvat Credit Rules read with Rule 2(I) of the Cenvat Credit Rules 2004. The respondent submitted that they have availed the Cenvat Credit of ₹ 11,29,987/- on 31.12.2010 and 31.01.2011 prior to 01.04.2011.

Therefore I have to decide the issues-:

Whether Cenvat Credit of $\ref{thmodel}$ 11,29,987/- availed prior to 01.04.2011 is admissible to the respondent in terms of "Input Service" covered under the definition of Input Service as defined in the Rule 2(I) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004.

To decide the issue definition of Input Service as defined in the Rule 2(I) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004 prior to 01.04.2011 is as under-:

Rule2 (I) -"Input service" means any service-

- (i) Used by a provider of Taxable Service for providing an output service; or
- (ii) Used by the Manufacturer, whether directly or indirectly, in or in relation to the manufacturer of final products and clearance of final products, up to the place of removal;

And includes services used in relation to setting up, modernization, renovation or repairs of a factory, premises of provider of output service or an office relating to such factory or premises, advertisement or sales promotion, market research, storage up to the place of removal, procurement of Inputs, activities relating to business, such as accounting, auditing, financing, recruitment and quality control, coaching and training, computer networking, credit rating, share registry and security, inward transportation of inputs or capital goods and outward transportation up to the place of removal.



It is clear from above definition that input service credit taken for the purpose of setting up, modernization, renovation or repairs of a factory, premises of provider of output service or an office relating to such factory or premises become restricted only from 01.04.2011, therefore admissible. Whereas the respondent has taken the Cenvat credit on civil construction work of the Factory Building, Compound Wall, Internal Road, D P Godown, Transformer foundation, Utility Building, Old Building extension and Office Building which is also covered under the definition. Therefore credit taken by the respondent is legal and proper. Further, when the Cenvat credit is proper, the issue of penalty and interest does not arise.

- 7. Thus, in view of discussion in paragraph 6 above and in the fitness of things, it would be just and proper to reject the appeal.
- 8. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपीलों का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।
- 8. The appeals filed by the appellant stand disposed off in above terms.

(उमा शंकर)

321123 WY

आयुक्त (अपील्स **- II**)

CENTRAL EXCISE, AHMEDABAD:

ATTESTED

(S S Chowhan)

SUPERINTENDENT (APPEAL-II), CENTRAL EXCISE, AHMEDABAD.

To, M/s Finar Ltd, 184-P to 186-P,Sarkhej-Bavla Highway, Village Chacharwadi Vasna,Taluka Sanand, Dist-Ahmedabad.

Copy To:-

- 1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Excise, Ahmedabad Zone, Ahmedabad.
- 2. The Commissioner, Central Excise, Ahmedabad-II, Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Dy./Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Division-IV, Ahmedabad-II.
- 4. The Assistant Commissioner (Systems), Central Excise, Ahmedabad-II.
- 5. Guard File.
- 6. P.A. File.

